



## COMBINING ABILITY ANALYSIS FOR YIELD AND YIELD CONTRIBUTING TRAITS IN BITTER GOURD

Anil Kumar<sup>1</sup>, B. K. Singh<sup>2</sup>, Anand Kumar Singh<sup>3</sup>, and Pawan Kumar Anand<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,4</sup>Ph.D. Scholar and <sup>2,3</sup>Professor, Department of Horticulture, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221 005 (India), E-mail: akkatori@gmail.com, Corresponding Author: Anil Kumar

**Abstract:** Combining ability was studied in diallel mating design using seven homozygous lines namely, DVBTG-903, DVBTG-7, IC-06309, VRBTG-423, VRBTG-12-2, IC-068296, DVBTG-448, IC-068316, DVBTG-1004 and Green Long Jaunpuri. Variance due to general and specific combining ability were significant among all the characters under studied. Among the parents,  $P_1, P_6, P_2, P_{10}, P_3$  for node at which first staminate flowers anthesis,  $P_1, P_7, P_3, P_4, P_{10}$  and  $P_2$  for days to first pistillate flower anthesis,  $P_5, P_8, P_3, P_7, P_1$  and  $P_{10}$  for node at which first staminate flower appears.  $P_7, P_5, P_1, P_3, P_8$  and  $P_{10}$  for node at first pistillate flower appears.  $P_2, P_6$  and  $P_1$  for fruit yield per plant were found good general combiners. However, in specific combining ability study, the crosses  $P_5 \times P_9$  for node at which first pistillate flower appears,  $P_6 \times P_8$  sex ratio male: female,  $P_1 \times P_5$  for fruit length,  $P_4 \times P_{10}$  number of fruits per plant and  $P_1 \times P_8$  fruit yield per plant exhibited high specific combining ability.

**Keywords:** Combining ability, bitter gourd.

**Introduction:** Vegetables play an important role in the balanced diet by providing not only energy, but also by supplying vital protective nutrients like minerals, antioxidants, and vitamins. Consumption in sufficient quantity provides all required essential nutrients and a fair amount of fibres. Vegetables also play an important role in neutralizing the acids produced during digestion of proteins and fatty foods, and thus promote digestion and also prevents constipation. Apart from providing nutrition, vegetables provide protect against many diseases. eg. Bitter gourd is used for treating diabetes. Bioflavonoids which are compounds closely associated with vitamins are found in several vegetables and they increase the efficiency of vitamin c and protect the body from free radicals.

Bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L) is one of the important cucurbitaceous vegetables grown in our country. Among the cucurbits, it is considered a prized vegetable because of its high nutritive value especially ascorbic acid and iron. The somatic chromosome number of *Momordica charantia* is  $2n=2x=22$ . Other species belonging to this genus are *M. dioca*, *M. cochinchinensis*, *M. balsamina*, *M. tuberosa*, *M. subangulata*, *M.*

*denudata* and *M. macrocarpa*. The plant is a monocious annual climber, stem 5 angled and furrowed; tendrils simple or forked. Leaves are palmately 5-9 lobed and 5-17 cm in diameter. Flowers axillary, solitary about 3 cm in diameter, calyx deeply 5 fid, corolla rotate, parted nearly to base, petals 5, filaments free and anther united. There are three short styles terminated by three bilobed stigma. Fruit is pendulous, fusiform ribbed with numerous tubercles and seed brownish with scarlet axil. Anthesis and anther dehiscence occur early in the morning. Selfing and crossing should be attempted in the forenoon preferably in early hours for crossing purpose. Female flower buds ready to open in the next 1-2 days are covered by small paper bags, like-wise male flower buds ready to open next morning are also covered. Pollination is carried out by rubbing anthers of freshly opened flowers against stigma of the protected pistillate flowers. The crossed flowers are covered as above for a few more days.

Breeding method for the improvement of a crop depends primarily on the nature and magnitude of gene actions involved in the expression of quantitative and qualitative traits. Combining ability analysis helps in the

identification of parents with high general combining ability (GCA) and cross combinations with high specific combining ability (SCA) effects. Additive and non additive gene action in the parents, estimated through combining ability analysis may be useful in determining the possibility for commercial exploitation of heterosis. The present study is aimed to obtain the information on combining ability of 10 varieties and 45 hybrids ( $F_1$ ) of bitter gourd for fruit yield and yield contributing characters.

### Materials and Methods

Ten promising and diverse inbred lines/varieties of bitter gourd namely, DVBTG-903, DVBTG-7, IC-06309, VRBTG-423, VRBTG-12-2, IC-068296, DVBTG-448, IC-068316, DVBTG-1004 and Green Long Jaunpuri were taken for the present study. All the lines were hand-pollinated with each other to produce all possible combinations of  $F_1$  hybrids in half-diallel fashion (Griffing). Pollen for crossing was obtained from freshly dehisced anther. The seeds of 45  $F_1$  hybrids and 10 parental lines (total of 55 genotypes) were sown in Vegetable Research Farm, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi during summer 2014 in randomized complete block design with three replications to assess the performance of 45  $F_1$  hybrids and their 10 parental lines. The crop was planted in rows spaced at 3.0 meters with plant to plant spacing of 0.5 meter. The observations were recorded on randomly selected five plants for twelve economically important traits namely (1) Days to first staminate flower anthesis (2) Days to first pistillate flower anthesis (3) Node at which first staminate flower appears (4) Node at which first pistillate flower appears (5) Internodal length (cm) (6) Sex ratio male: female (7) Days to first fruit harvest (8) Vine length (m) (9) No. of primary branches per plant (10) Fruit length (cm.) (11) Fruit circumference (cm) (12) Average Fruit Weight (g.) (13) No. of fruits per plant (14) Fruit Yield per plant (Kg.) and Number of seeds /fruit. The mean data was subjected to analysis of combining abilities (gca and sca) as per model suggested by Griffing.

### Results and Discussion

Analysis of variance for gca and sca presented in (Table-1) for general combining for various yield and yield contributing characters were highly significant for all the traits. In specific combining ability (sca) also they were highly significant for all the fifteen traits which indicated that both additive and dominant gene action perform important role in the expression

of all the fifteen traits. General combining ability (gca) estimates are presented in (Table-2). The estimates of specific combining ability for 45  $F_1$  hybrids. Out of 45 crosses only 11 showed highly significant (sca) value in desirable direction for days to first staminate flower anthesis.  $P_6 \times P_{10}$  (-3.85),  $P_1 \times P_2$  (-3.15) and  $P_5 \times P_8$  (-2.74) were good combiners for days to first staminate flower anthesis. For days to first pistillate flower anthesis, cross  $P_6 \times P_9$  (-4.29),  $P_2 \times P_3$  (-3.31) and  $P_1 \times P_5$  (-2.75) revealed significantly desirable (sca) effects. Out of 45 crosses 13 are showed desirable (sca) effect. For node number to first staminate flower appearance cross combinations  $P_2 \times P_8$  (-1.32),  $P_8 \times P_9$  (-1.26) and  $P_5 \times P_6$  (-1.21) had the highest specific combining ability. For node number to first pistillate flower appearance cross combinations  $P_5 \times P_9$  (-1.96),  $P_3 \times P_5$  (-1.86) and  $P_8 \times P_{10}$  (-1.71) had the highest negative specific combining ability. For internodal length crosses  $P_4 \times P_5$ ,  $P_9 \times P_{10}$  and  $P_2 \times P_{10}$  are shows desirable negative specific combining ability.  $P_6 \times P_8$  (1.67),  $P_4 \times P_{10}$  (1.66) and  $P_3 \times P_{10}$  (1.36) were good combiners for sex ratio male: female. For days to first fruit harvest, negative crosses showed desirable combining ability. Cross  $P_2 \times P_3$  (-5.44),  $P_2 \times P_7$  (-4.80) and  $P_1 \times P_4$  (-4.47) showed earliness. Out of 45 crosses 18 crosses showed desirable combining ability for days to first fruit harvest. For vine length cross combination  $P_7 \times P_9$  (0.66),  $P_4 \times P_{10}$  (0.59) and  $P_2 \times P_3$  (0.38) showed high specific combining ability. Among 45 cross combinations 3 showed highly significant (sca) values in vine length. For primary branches per plant crosses  $P_1 \times P_2$  (4.92),  $P_1 \times P_7$  (2.51) and  $P_7 \times P_9$  (2.11) showed significant desirable direction. For fruit length, highly significant specific combining ability values were obtained for the crosses  $P_1 \times P_5$  (2.78),  $P_3 \times P_4$  (2.57) and  $P_2 \times P_6$  (2.34). For fruit circumference cross  $P_1 \times P_5$  (2.77),  $P_2 \times P_6$  (1.87) and  $P_8 \times P_{10}$  (1.50) exhibited highly significant specific combining ability, out of 45 crosses, only 8 cross showed significant desirable (sca) effects. For average fruit weight positive crosses showed desirable combining ability. Crosses  $P_7 \times P_9$  (12.88),  $P_1 \times P_2$  (10.88) and  $P_4 \times P_5$  (10.33) were good specific combiners. Out of 45 crosses 19 crosses showed desirable combining ability for average fruit weight. For number of fruits per plant, cross combination  $P_4 \times P_{10}$  (4.48),  $P_1 \times P_4$  (4.32) and  $P_7 \times P_8$  (4.04) showed high specific combining ability. Among 45 cross combinations, 9 showed highly significant (sca) values for number of fruits per

plant. For fruit yield per plant, highly significant specific combining ability values were obtained from the crosses P<sub>1</sub>xP<sub>8</sub> (0.42), P<sub>6</sub>xP<sub>8</sub> (0.35) and P<sub>7</sub>xP<sub>9</sub> (0.33) and for number of seeds/fruit crosses P<sub>7</sub>xP<sub>9</sub>, P<sub>4</sub>xP<sub>9</sub> and P<sub>2</sub>xP<sub>9</sub> showed positive

significant desirable specific combining ability. Out of 45 crosses only 14 crosses showed significant desirable (sca) effects. Similar result is reported [1,2,3,4, 5, 6,7,8, 9 & 10].

**Table-1: Analysis of variance (mean squares) for combining ability in methods-II model-I of diallel analysis in bitter gourd**

Source of variation	Degree of freedom	Days to first staminate flower anthesis	Days to first pistillate flower anthesis	Node at which first staminate flower appears	Node at which first pistillate flower appears	Internodal length (cm)	Sex ratio Male: Female	Days to first fruit harvest	Vine length (m)
GCA	9.00	101.09**	61.11**	12.14**	12.80**	0.44**	1.46**	52.48**	0.59**
SCA	45.00	2.90**	2.68**	0.87**	1.52**	0.69**	1.22**	8.08**	0.08**
Error	108.00	0.30	0.30	0.20	0.22	0.13	0.07	0.34	0.03

**Table-1.1: Analysis of variance (mean squares) for combining ability in methods-II model-I of diallel analysis in bitter gourd**

Source of variation	Degree of freedom	No. of primary branches per plant	Fruit length (cm.)	Fruit circumference (cm)	Average Fruit Weight (g.)	No. of fruits per plant	Fruit Yield per plant (Kg.)	Number of seeds /fruit
GCA	9.00	8.26**	4.71**	0.88**	45.32**	64.10**	0.20**	15.38**
SCA	45.00	5.90**	2.85**	1.04**	41.50**	10.87**	0.04**	7.54**
Error	108.00	0.34	0.26	0.25	0.92	1.92	0.01	0.40

**Table-2: Estimation of specific combining ability (SCA) effect of 45 F<sub>1</sub> hybrids for 15 characters of bitter gourd**

Hybrids	Days to first staminate flower anthesis	Days to first pistillate flower anthesis	Node at which first staminate flower appears	Node at which first pistillate flower appears	Internodal length (cm)
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>2</sub>	-3.15 **	-1.74**	-0.55	-0.97 *	0.76 *
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>3</sub>	-1.41 **	0.74	-0.72	-1.21 **	0.81*
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	0.03	-1.39**	0.08	0.01	0.66*
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	-0.17	-2.75**	-0.13	-1.51 **	0.28
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	0.17	0.62	0.87 *	0.68	0.25
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	-1.01	-1.92**	-0.43	-0.10	-0.33
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	2.78**	1.02	-0.04	0.05	0.24
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	3.33**	2.72**	0.31	-0.22	-1.29 **
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	1.08 *	0.20	0.10	-0.79	-0.50
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>3</sub>	-1.62 **	-3.31 **	-0.55	-0.67	-0.38
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	1.64**	0.49	-0.46	1.66**	1.10 **
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	0.81	2.69 **	0.18	0.26	-1.38**
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	-0.76	0.68	0.83	0.30	-0.35
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	1.51**	-0.92	-0.91 *	0.89 *	0.74*
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	-1.05 *	-1.09*	-1.32**	-0.68	-0.55
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	3.84**	1.34*	-0.63	-1.36 **	-0.02
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	-0.60	0.93	-0.67	-0.61	-1.66**
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	0.25	0.15	1.15 **	0.30	-0.55
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	2.21 **	-0.42	-0.25	-1.86 **	0.70 *
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	2.03**	-0.21	-0.90 *	-0.55	-0.43
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	0.28	-0.87	1.23 **	0.38	-0.50
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	-0.52	-0.39	0.60	2.09**	0.57
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	-2.33 **	1.10*	-0.89 *	-1.05*	0.17
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	1.95 **	-0.88	0.69	0.67	1.39**
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	-1.32*	0.40	-0.35	-1.64**	-1.81**
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	-1.03*	-2.13**	0.00	-0.48	-0.75*
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	-0.36	0.60	-0.41	-0.33	-0.19
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	-1.98 **	-0.39	0.99*	1.91**	0.22
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	0.98	0.98	0.74	0.24	1.40**
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	1.23 *	-0.22	-0.96*	-0.76	0.54
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	1.10*	-0.09	-1.21**	-0.27	-0.03
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	0.74	1.94**	-0.76	-1.07*	-0.21
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	-2.74**	-1.89**	1.63 **	0.97*	-0.07
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	0.09	0.46	-0.88 *	-1.96 **	0.16
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	-0.99	0.47	1.59**	2.50**	1.15**
P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	0.47	0.04	-0.06	-0.42	1.36 **
P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	0.91	-1.19*	0.09	-0.38	-0.10
P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	0.07	-4.29**	-0.50	0.03	-0.37
P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	-3.85 **	1.33*	-1.15**	-0.34	0.29
P <sub>7</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	-0.31	0.40	-0.04	-0.32	-0.61
P <sub>7</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	-0.55	1.11*	1.63 **	1.38**	0.36
P <sub>7</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	0.91	-2.12**	1.35 **	0.77	-0.35
P <sub>8</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	1.50 **	0.93	-1.26 **	-1.47**	-0.20
P <sub>8</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	-0.47	-1.27 *	-1.05*	-1.71 **	0.02

P <sub>9</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	-1.94 **	-1.46**	-0.62	-0.44	-1.79 **
S.E. (S <sub>ij</sub> )	1.01	1.02	0.83	0.87	0.66
S.E. (S <sub>ij</sub> ,S <sub>ik</sub> )	1.49	1.50	1.22	1.28	0.97

**Table-2.1: Estimation of specific combining ability (SCA) effect of 45 F<sub>1</sub> hybrids for 15 characters of bitter gourd**

Hybrids	Sex ratio male: female	Days to first fruit harvest	Vine length (m)	No. of primary branches per plant	Fruit length (cm.)
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>2</sub>	0.06	1.16*	-0.10	4.92**	0.37
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>3</sub>	-0.34	-3.13 **	0.31	-0.69	1.45 **
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	-0.24	-4.47 **	-0.16	-2.02 **	0.83
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	-0.05	-2.50 **	0.23	-0.43	2.78**
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	-0.30	-1.20*	-0.22	-3.95 **	-2.12**
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	-0.43	-3.62 **	0.16	2.51 **	0.08
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	-0.20	-0.62	0.06	-1.72 **	2.29**
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	-0.90**	0.35	0.11	1.92 **	-1.46**
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	-1.11 **	3.87 **	-0.41 *	-0.75	1.09 *
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>3</sub>	0.26	-5.44 **	0.38 *	0.48	0.31
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	0.82**	-2.21 **	-0.13	-1.78 **	-1.04*
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	-0.57 *	-1.17*	-0.50 **	-2.59 **	0.47
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	-1.11 **	-0.44	-0.05	-0.97	2.34**
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	0.44	-4.80**	-0.32	-2.68 **	0.27
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	-0.95 **	-0.24	-0.10	1.99**	-0.84
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	-1.28**	-0.09	-0.12	-2.24 **	1.61**
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	-0.54 *	-1.94**	-0.09	-3.81**	-1.92**
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	-1.26**	0.06	-0.55 **	0.37	2.57**
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	-0.16	1.20*	0.00	-2.60**	-0.15
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	0.54*	2.53 **	-0.03	1.21*	1.25 *
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	-0.01	1.84**	-0.18	0.50	1.95**
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	0.71**	0.81	-0.10	-0.60	0.17
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	0.64*	-0.38	-0.13	-2.69**	-1.45**
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	1.36**	-0.30	0.19	0.94	1.09*
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	1.00**	-0.67	0.26	1.07	0.50
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	-1.05 **	-2.28 **	-0.18	-1.32*	0.00
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	-0.40	0.03	-0.26	-1.03	-0.14
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	-0.89 **	1.06	0.13	-1.16*	-0.19
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	0.89**	-0.06	-0.15	-1.45 **	1.66 **
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	1.66**	-3.31 **	0.59**	2.04 **	-0.79
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	0.43	0.53	0.13	1.51 **	0.41
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	-0.51 *	1.31 *	-0.20	-3.84 **	1.68 **
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	-2.75**	-1.79 **	-0.11	-3.10 **	-0.44
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	0.44	0.95	0.11	0.47	-1.06 *
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	-1.50 **	-1.10 *	-0.55 **	-2.47 **	-2.05 **
P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	-0.77 **	-0.50	0.31	-0.89	-0.15
P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	1.67 **	-2.00 **	0.20	0.65	1.86**
P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	-0.60*	-0.92	-0.16	-0.38	-1.09*
P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	0.54 *	0.29	-0.36 *	-2.18**	1.72 **
P <sub>7</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	-0.70**	1.31 *	0.02	-0.26	-0.27
P <sub>7</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	1.24**	1.59**	0.66**	2.11 **	2.11**
P <sub>7</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	-0.98 **	-2.13 **	-0.12	-0.56	0.72
P <sub>8</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	-1.65**	0.88	-0.10	-0.42	0.33
P <sub>8</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	0.74 **	-3.40 **	0.04	0.21	1.97 **
P <sub>9</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	0.30	-2.39**	-0.14	-0.85	0.32
S.E. (S <sub>ij</sub> )	0.49	1.08	0.33	1.08	0.95
S.E. (S <sub>ij</sub> ,S <sub>ik</sub> )	0.72	1.58	0.48	1.59	1.39

**Table-2.2: Estimation of specific combining ability (SCA) effect of 45 F<sub>1</sub> hybrids for 15 characters of bitter gourd**

Hybrids	Fruit circumference (cm)	Average Fruit Weight (g.)	No. of fruits per plant	Fruit Yield per plant (Kg.)	Number of seeds /fruit
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>2</sub>	0.40	10.88 **	-8.75 **	-0.22 **	1.65 **
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>3</sub>	-0.39	-4.43 **	3.22 *	0.06	3.37 **
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	-0.18	-5.84 **	4.32**	0.08	1.14
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	2.77 **	9.11**	-2.03	0.13	1.69 **
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	-1.23 *	-5.66 **	-1.25	-0.21 **	-6.94 **
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	0.12	0.00	1.86	0.13	-2.70 **
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	1.08 *	9.17 **	2.46	0.42 **	0.63
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	0.14	-8.17 **	0.75	-0.17 *	-3.95**
P <sub>1</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	0.16	7.59**	-2.79 *	0.02	0.09
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>3</sub>	0.04	3.23 **	-2.34	-0.04	-2.91 **
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	0.52	-2.98 **	2.52	0.08	-0.08
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	-0.60	1.31	-5.00**	-0.24 **	1.21*
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	1.87**	1.77	0.65	0.13	1.44 *
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	0.78	3.19 **	-0.04	0.10	-1.85**
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	-0.85	-2.96 **	3.89 **	0.11	-2.32 **

P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	1.20 *	6.02**	0.32	0.21 **	3.50**
P <sub>2</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	1.42 **	-5.88**	3.45 **	0.04	-2.33**
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>4</sub>	1.46 **	-0.02	-5.10 **	-0.28**	1.61 **
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	-0.86	-4.73 **	3.52 **	0.08	-0.17
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	-0.29	7.26 **	2.23	0.33**	-0.73
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	1.15 *	3.45 **	1.07	0.13	2.44 **
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	0.15	8.12 **	-1.00	0.14	0.51
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	-0.79	-4.36**	-4.17 **	-0.32**	-3.51**
P <sub>3</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	-1.01 *	9.04 **	-1.64	0.09	0.09
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>5</sub>	-0.28	10.33**	-2.29	0.09	1.60 **
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	-0.04	-5.14 **	-4.05 **	-0.35**	0.96
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	-1.03 *	-0.46	-0.87	-0.06	-1.73 **
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	-0.67	-0.21	1.20	0.06	0.94
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	0.19	5.77 **	-1.18	0.06	4.35 **
P <sub>4</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	0.81	-1.20	4.48 **	0.24**	-3.61 **
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>6</sub>	0.10	-3.39 **	2.90 *	0.09	-3.88**
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	0.78	4.83 **	-1.45	0.01	-0.37
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	-0.72	-5.53 **	-2.18	-0.26 **	-1.24 *
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	-0.67	1.33	1.71	0.14	-0.62
P <sub>5</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	-1.18 *	-5.58 **	-5.76 **	-0.42 **	-0.99
P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>7</sub>	-0.65	2.42**	-0.67	-0.01	2.59 **
P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	0.38	3.87**	3.86**	0.35 **	0.19
P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	-0.43	-7.01 **	2.35	-0.04	-3.99**
P <sub>6</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	0.39	-1.19	0.75	0.00	0.45
P <sub>7</sub> xP <sub>8</sub>	-1.20 *	-7.38 **	4.04 **	0.03	-0.17
P <sub>7</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	0.78	12.88**	1.07	0.33 **	5.25 **
P <sub>7</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	0.47	4.97 **	-0.07	0.08	-2.85 **
P <sub>8</sub> xP <sub>9</sub>	0.41	-0.35	-0.60	-0.05	-3.82 **
P <sub>8</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	1.50**	4.68**	-3.74 **	-0.12	1.22*
P <sub>9</sub> xP <sub>10</sub>	0.02	-4.60 **	0.89	-0.05	1.37 *
S.E. (S <sub>ij</sub> )	0.92	1.78	2.57	0.14	1.18
S.E. (S <sub>ij</sub> ,S <sub>ik</sub> )	1.36	2.62	3.78	0.21	1.74

## References

- Bhave, S. G., Bendale, V. W., Pethe, U. B., Dhere, H. D and Mehta, J. L. (2004). Combining ability in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *Journal of Soils and Crops.*, 14(1):12-17.
- Shan, G., Ying, G. B., Lin, Z. X and Liang, L.Z. (2005). Analysis of combining ability and genetic parameter of yield characters of balsam pear. *Acta Agriculturae Shanghai.*, 21(4):38-41.
- Sundharaiya, K and Venkatesan, K. (2007). Studies on combining ability in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *Journal of Horticultural Sciences.*, 2(1):63-66.
- Sundaram, V. (2008). Studies on combining ability in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *Crop Research.*, 35 (1/2): 46-51.
- Dey, S. S., Behera, T. K., Munshi, A. D and Anand Pal. (2010). Gynoecious inbred with better combining ability improves yield and earliness in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *Euphytica.*, 173 (1) : 37-47.
- Thangamani, C. and Pugalendhi, L. (2011). Haymans diallel analysis in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) for yield and quality characters. *Plant Archives.*, 11(2):749-754.
- Patil, L., Salimath, S. A., Dharmatti, P. M., Byadgi, P. R and Yanagi, N. A. S. (2012). Heterosis and combining ability analysis for productivity traits in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*; 25(1):9-13.
- Thangamani, C. and Pugalendhi, L. (2013). Combining ability studies in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). *Madras Agricultural Journal.*, 100 (1/3):9-14.
- Kumar, A., Yadav, G.C., Pandey, V and Patel, M. S. (2014). Studies on combining ability for yield and its related traits in bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol.) Standl.]. *Annals of Agri-Bio Research* 19 (1):140-143.
- Maurya, P. K., Kumar, A and Yadav, G. C. (2015). Studies on combining ability in bottle gourd through diallel analysis. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research.*, 17(Special issue): 48-51.